



Current Account Deficits and Capital Flows: The Anatomy of India's External Imbalances

Shabir Ahmad Malik¹, Ishfaq Ahmad Bhat²

¹ Independent Researcher

² Independent Researcher

* Corresponding Author:

Shabir Ahmad Malik
bhatishfaq21@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received	19-01-2024
Revised	27-04-2024
Accepted	19-05-2024
Published	30-06-2024

ARTICLE ID

TPAS0201004

KEYWORDS

Balance of Payments, Current Account, Capital Account, Financial Account, Trade Deficit, Foreign Investment, Exchange Rate, Economic Stability.

ABSTRACT

The paper presents a comprehensive analysis of India's Balance of Payments (BoP), examining its structure, historical evolution, and the key factors influencing its dynamics. The BoP serves as a vital economic indicator, capturing all economic transactions between India and the rest of the world across three core components: the current account, capital account, and financial account. The study traces India's BoP trends from independence to the present, highlighting critical episodes such as the 1966 rupee devaluation, the 1991 BoP crisis, the 2008 global financial meltdown, and the economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Particular attention is given to the persistent current account deficits, the volatility of capital flows, and the implications of trade imbalances and oil price shocks. The paper also explores the role of foreign direct investment, remittances, and global monetary trends in shaping India's external sector. Drawing on empirical data and comparative studies, the analysis underscores the need for robust macroeconomic management, structural reforms, and prudent exchange rate policies to ensure long-term external stability. The findings suggest that while India has shown resilience in navigating external shocks, sustained BoP deficits pose risks to currency stability and investor confidence, necessitating a balanced approach to growth, investment, and trade competitiveness.





INTRODUCTION

The balance of payments serves as a comprehensive record of all financial and economic transactions conducted between a nation and the global community over a specified timeframe. This documentation encompasses transactions involving individuals, corporations, and government entities. Such records are essential for the country to track monetary flows and formulate policies aimed at fostering a robust economy. Ideally, the inflow and outflow of funds should be equal; however, this is often not the case. In an ideal situation, the balance of payments (Bop) would equal zero, accurately reflecting whether the nation is experiencing a surplus or a deficit in its financial resources. A Bop deficit signifies that a country is importing more than it is exporting, while a Bop surplus indicates the opposite. Both situations can have significant short-term and long-term implications for the nation's economy.

The Balance of Payments (BoP) is a critical indicator of a nation's economic standing in the international arena. It serves as a systematic record of all economic transactions—current, capital, and financial—that occur between a country and the rest of the world over a specified period, typically one year. These transactions encompass trade in goods and services, cross-border investments, transfer payments, and monetary flows between individuals, businesses, and governments. The balance of payments not only reflects a country's external sector dynamics but also helps assess its economic strength, international competitiveness, and monetary policy effectiveness. In a world characterized by increasing globalization and interdependence, understanding the BoP becomes indispensable for both national policymakers and international stakeholders.

For India, the evolution of its balance of payments tells a rich and complex story—one that reflects the country's transition from a relatively closed, centrally planned economy to a more liberalized, globally integrated market system. Since gaining independence in 1947, India has witnessed substantial changes in its external sector, navigating through several balance of payments crises, policy reforms, and periods of both vulnerability and stability. Early in its post-independence years, India maintained a controlled economic environment with strict import licensing, foreign exchange controls, and minimal engagement with global markets. However, this

inward-looking model led to chronic current account deficits, a heavy reliance on foreign aid and borrowings, and a series of BoP crises, particularly in 1966 and 1991. These crises served as wake-up calls that necessitated significant economic restructuring, which came in the form of liberalization, privatization, and globalization, especially after the 1991 economic reforms.

The BoP is traditionally divided into three main components: the current account, capital account, and financial account. The current account captures the net trade in goods and services, net earnings on cross-border investments, and net transfer payments. It indicates whether a country is a net lender or borrower with respect to the rest of the world. The capital account records the net change in ownership of national assets and includes transactions such as debt forgiveness, transfer of ownership of fixed assets, and capital transfers linked to migration. The financial account, meanwhile, documents investments in businesses, stocks, bonds, and real estate, including foreign direct investment (FDI), foreign portfolio investment (FPI), and reserve assets like gold and Special Drawing Rights (SDRs). Together, these accounts provide a detailed view of how India engages with the global economy and how external shocks or domestic policy decisions ripple through its economic system.

India's BoP trends over the decades have mirrored its economic and political shifts. During the 1950s and 1960s, the country's BoP was characterized by persistent trade deficits due to import-dependent industrialization and limited export competitiveness. This led to frequent reliance on foreign aid and concessional loans. The 1966 devaluation of the rupee was a direct response to BoP imbalances and marked one of the first significant external sector interventions. The 1970s brought further volatility, particularly with the oil shocks of 1973 and 1979, which severely strained India's import bill and widened its current account deficit. The BoP situation remained precarious throughout the 1980s, culminating in the severe crisis of 1991, when foreign exchange reserves fell to less than two weeks of import cover. The country was forced to pledge its gold reserves, and this event triggered a historic shift in economic policy.

The 1991 crisis was a pivotal moment that transformed India's economic trajectory. Structural adjustment programs and liberalization reforms opened up the economy to foreign investment,



reduced trade barriers, deregulated industries, and introduced greater flexibility in exchange rates. The results were profound. India's exports began to diversify and grow, FDI and FPI inflows surged, and the financial account started to post significant surpluses, offsetting the perennial current account deficits. Over time, the country's foreign exchange reserves increased substantially, providing a buffer against external shocks. Yet, challenges persisted. Oil imports, gold demand, and limited export base diversification continued to pose recurrent threats to external stability. Furthermore, global economic crises—such as the 2008 Lehman Brothers collapse and the COVID-19 pandemic—exposed the fragility of external dependence and highlighted the need for robust, self-sustaining macroeconomic policies.

An in-depth look at India's BoP reveals that while the capital and financial accounts have often shown surpluses due to robust foreign inflows, the current account has traditionally been a source of concern. India typically runs a current account deficit due to high imports of oil, gold, and machinery, coupled with a relatively weaker export performance in both goods and services. The exception was during the COVID-19 pandemic, when subdued domestic demand and reduced imports led to a rare current account surplus in 2020-21. However, this surplus was temporary and driven more by contraction in economic activity than sustainable export growth. The long-term viability of the BoP depends on enhancing export competitiveness, managing import dependence, particularly on energy, and attracting stable forms of capital inflows that do not expose the economy to volatile short-term movements.

Another important factor influencing India's BoP is the global economic environment. Fluctuations in oil prices, shifts in global risk appetite, monetary policy changes in advanced economies, and geopolitical tensions all have a direct impact on India's external sector. For example, the tightening of monetary policy by the U.S. Federal Reserve often leads to capital outflows from emerging markets, including India, thereby weakening the rupee and pressuring the BoP. Similarly, geopolitical events like the Russia-Ukraine conflict have led to supply chain disruptions and rising commodity prices, exacerbating the import bill and worsening the current account. These external shocks highlight the interconnected nature of the BoP with global developments and underscore the importance of

maintaining sufficient foreign exchange reserves to cushion against volatility.

India's capital and financial account performance has generally been more favorable, particularly in the post-reform era. FDI inflows into sectors like manufacturing, infrastructure, information technology, and renewable energy have played a significant role in financing the BoP deficit. Portfolio investments, while more volatile, have also been substantial, driven by favorable growth prospects and liberal investment norms. However, dependence on such inflows carries inherent risks. Sudden reversals, also known as capital flight, can destabilize the currency and financial markets, as witnessed during the global financial crisis and the "taper tantrum" episode of 2013. Hence, prudent capital account management, sound regulatory oversight, and macroeconomic stability are essential to mitigate these risks and ensure BoP sustainability.

India's policymakers have taken various steps over the years to manage the BoP effectively. Exchange rate flexibility, monetary policy interventions, foreign exchange reserves accumulation, and bilateral swap agreements are some of the tools used to navigate external sector challenges. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) plays a central role in monitoring external accounts and intervening in forex markets to prevent excessive volatility. Fiscal policy measures aimed at improving export competitiveness, promoting import substitution, and encouraging non-debt-creating capital inflows have also contributed to improving the BoP situation. Nonetheless, the task remains complex, requiring continuous calibration in response to changing domestic and international conditions.

Understanding the BoP is also crucial from the perspective of economic development and sovereignty. A persistent BoP deficit financed through external borrowings increases a country's debt burden and limits its policy autonomy. Moreover, high debt servicing costs divert resources from essential developmental expenditures. Conversely, a BoP surplus, while generally viewed favorably, can also create issues such as currency overvaluation, inflationary pressures, and complacency in structural reforms. Therefore, the goal should not merely be to achieve a surplus but to maintain a balanced, sustainable BoP position that aligns with broader economic objectives.



The BoP also interacts closely with other macroeconomic variables, including inflation, interest rates, fiscal balance, and exchange rates. For instance, a depreciating currency may boost exports by making them cheaper globally, but it also raises the cost of imports, thereby contributing to inflation. Similarly, interest rate differentials between India and advanced economies influence capital flows and, by extension, the financial account of the BoP. As such, integrated policy frameworks that consider these interlinkages are necessary for coherent and effective economic management.

In recent years, India has made commendable progress in strengthening its external sector. Foreign exchange reserves have reached comfortable levels, external debt is largely long-term and stable, and the current account deficit remains manageable in proportion to GDP. The country has also diversified its trade partners, enhanced service exports, especially in IT and financial services, and entered into several free trade agreements to improve market access. However, several structural challenges persist. The merchandise export base remains narrow and vulnerable to global demand cycles. Import dependence on energy and electronics continues to strain the trade balance. Moreover, bureaucratic hurdles, infrastructural bottlenecks, and tariff uncertainties hinder export growth and investor confidence.

Moving forward, India's BoP strategy must focus on deep structural reforms that enhance productivity, competitiveness, and economic diversification. Promoting domestic manufacturing through initiatives like "Make in India," expanding digital and service exports, investing in renewable energy to reduce oil dependency, and creating an investor-friendly regulatory environment are key steps in this direction. Simultaneously, improving logistics, reducing trade barriers, and strengthening trade diplomacy can boost export performance. On the financial front, deepening capital markets, enhancing financial literacy, and fostering fintech innovation can attract stable investments and improve the quality of BoP financing. The COVID-19 pandemic has offered both lessons and opportunities. While it exposed vulnerabilities in global supply chains and financial markets, it also underscored the resilience of India's service exports and the potential of digital transformation. The post-pandemic recovery presents a unique window to reorient India's external engagement towards sustainability, inclusivity, and resilience. This

involves not only managing the BoP quantitatively but also enhancing its qualitative attributes—by focusing on green finance, ethical trade, and inclusive development outcomes.

Components of Balance of Payment

Current Account: It tracks the movement of financial resources resulting from the trade of goods and the provision of import and export services among nations. This encompasses the funds received or expended on manufactured products and raw materials. Additionally, it accounts for income generated from tourism, transportation services, specialized services, as well as royalties from patents and copyrights. Furthermore, the current account incorporates revenue from stocks.

Capital Account: It oversees the movement of international capital transactions, which encompass the acquisition or disposal of both non-financial and non-produced assets. This includes instances of debt forgiveness and gift taxes. Additionally, it tracks the flow of financial assets associated with migrants entering or leaving a country, as well as the transfer, sale, or purchase of fixed assets.

Financial Account: It oversees the movement of capital for business ventures, real estate, and stock market investments. This encompasses government-held assets like gold and Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) with the International Monetary Fund (IMF). Additionally, it accounts for foreign investments and assets owned by citizens residing overseas, as well as a registry of assets possessed by foreign nationals.

Literature Review

Matthieu Bussière (2007) in his paper entitled "Balance of Payment Crises in Emerging Markets How Early Were the Early Warning Signals?" says that the main economic variables found to predict crises are the ratio of short - term debt to international reserves, the growth rate of credit to the private sector, the over-appreciation of the nominal effective exchange rate and the contagion of other countries. He suggests that when a country faces liquidity problems or financial contagion from crises in other emerging markets, the policy response should be particularly rapid.

Nawaz Ahmad, Rizwan Raheem Ahmed, Imamuddin Khoso, Ranalmroze Palwishah and UnaibRaza (2014) in their paper entitled "Impact of Exchange Rate on Balance of Payment: An Investigation from Pakistan" analyses that the



stability of exchange rates may create a positive investment incentive environment, and this can improve the balance of payment. As per their findings, it has been established that exchange rate and balance of payment has a vital correlation to each other

Syeda Azra Batool, Tahir Memood and Atif Khan Jadoon (2015) in their paper entitled “What Determines Balance of Payments: A Case of Pakistan” concludes that money supply, real exchange rate, interest rate, fiscal balance and real gross domestic product are important determining factors in the balance of payments. The study shows that balance of payments and its determinants maintain long - term and short-term relations. It shows that the real exchange rate influences the balance of payments not only in the long term, but also in the short term. Inversely, the interest rate affects the balance of payments in the long term, but positively affects the BOP in the short term. With regard to the real GDP, the BOP moves both long and short-term in the positive direction. The money supply has had a positive impact on the BOP in the short term, but negatively. So the need for an hour is that the government's deliberate policy should increase Pakistan's real GDP because GDP can increase our savings and government spending and exports and improve the balance of payment balance.

Panchanan Behera (2016) in his paper entitled “India's Balance of Payments: 1990-91 to 2014-15” argues that the invisible account and capital account are critical in preventing the BOP crisis. The trade deficit remains a matter of concern because of liberal imports. Investment, FDI and FPI, can lead to economic reversals in payments and currency crises. It seems prudent to rely on short - term selective controls on trade and capital flows to moderate short - term volatility in the absence of market mechanisms.

Ms. Lovely Srivastava, Dr. Ambalika Sinha and Ms. Geetu Yadav (2016) in their paper entitled “A Trend Analysis of Trade Imbalance of Indian Balance of Payment (Bop)” concludes that import and export are both important factors for trade imbalances. The difference between import and export creates a trade imbalance. It can cause surplus trade or trade deficits. Given factors such as exchange rate volatility, currency devaluation, economic imbalance, the global crisis, the trade deficit becomes wider. It also reduces the country's growth. The government and RBI took corrective

action to improve this situation. They announce new policies, reduce rates, promote exports and discourage exports. The results of these policies have been reflected in the 2013 - 14 trade balance, which reduced the trade deficit.

Ch. Hymavathi and Dr. K. Kalpana (2017) in their paper entitled “A Study on Analysis of Bop Trends with Reference to India” quotes that in the capital account, exports decrease in 2011 - 2016 and imports increase first and then decrease in 2011 - 2016. In the current account, foreign investment including portfolio investment and direct investment also declines in 2011 - 2016. Population growth, demonstration effects, cyclical fluctuations, natural factors, globalization and inflation are factors that cause imbalances in the balance of payments.

Mrs. K. Geetha Rani, V. Aghalya and G. G. Gayathiri (2017) in their paper entitled “Balance of Payments Problems of Developing Countries with Special Reference to India” concludes that the 1991 balance of payments crisis led policymakers to review the trade strategy and to adopt an outward-looking strategy. The government implemented several reforms in the fiscal, financial, industrial and commercial sectors.

The balance of payments for a nation illustrates various facets of its international economic standing. It reflects the nation's financial position on a global scale and aids the government in making informed decisions regarding monetary and fiscal policies, as well as foreign trade and payment matters. For developing nations, the balance of payments indicates the extent to which their economic progress relies on financial support from developed countries. A positive balance of payments in a nation should not be viewed as a sign of economic success, nor should a negative or unfavorable balance be interpreted as a sign of financial failure. A deficit in the balance of payments does not inherently indicate a country's lack of competitiveness in international markets. However, the persistence of a balance of payments deficit may reveal deeper issues within the economy. A positive balance of payments can lead to complacency within a nation. A less affluent country might exhibit a favorable balance of payments due to significant inflows of foreign loans and capital investments. Conversely, a developed nation may experience a negative balance of payments as a result of substantial aid provided to emerging economies. Therefore, a country's deficit



or surplus in its balance of payments should not be viewed solely as an indicator of economic failure or success. The balance of payments reflects only the transactions occurring within the specified period and does not account for assets and liabilities associated with other nations. Despite these limitations, the balance of payments remains crucial as it offers essential insights into a country's economic interactions with the rest of the world.

Overall Balance of Payments

Since from the Independence of the country has faced series of crises such as rupee devaluation (1966), first (1973) and second (1980) oil shocks and, external payment crisis of 1991. The balance of payments in India has been adversely affected by the 2008 Lehman crisis. The surplus in the capital account decreased by 92 percent and the deficit in the capital account increased by 77 percent and amounted to \$ 20080 million in that year. The years 2009 - 10 and 2010-11 showed a total surplus and amounted to USD 13,441 million and USD 13,050 million. In 2009 - 10, the capital account increased by 558% and in 2010 - 11 by 28%. In 2010 - 11, exports increased by 40 percent of this year's annual growth, while in 2011 - 12, India's balance of payments position had a deficit of US\$ 12,831 million, increasing petroleum products, which increased its import bill by 30% this year. In addition, India's balance of payments position recorded a small surplus of US\$ 3826 million in 2012 - 13. In 2013 - 14, India's balance of payments position improved to US\$ 51108 million, CAD (Canadian\$) increased in the same year, while the capital account saw a surplus of US\$ 89300 million due to a 40.81 percent increase in the capital account. Further in the 2014 - 15 India's balance of payments position has improved and stood to US\$ 61406 million, CAD has been narrowed by-13.77 percent and stood to us\$ -27937 million, and on the other hand capital account also witnessed surplus of us\$ 89959 million with 84.39 percent of cargo growth rate; exports are declined by 0.58 percent whereas imports are also declined by 1.13 percent; hence India's Trade balance declined to US\$ -144179 million by 3.2%, share of overall BOP to GDP was 3.0% which is more than that of the previous year(0.8%).in 2015-16, India recorded a balance of payments surplus of US\$-17914 million due to robust FDI inflows and low oil prices . in 2016-17, a surplus of US\$ -21642 million was posted, supported by service exports and remittances. However, in 2017-18 the Balance of payments weakened with a CAD expansion to 1.8%

of GDP because of rising oil prices. The 2018-19 year saw global trade tensions affecting India, with a moderate Balance of payments surplus of US\$-14300 million. The COVID-19 pandemic in 2019-20 resulted in India's first current account surplus in 17 years, driven by a sharp fall in imports ending the year with strong capital inflows. In 2020-21 despite a second COVID-19 wave, India recorded a Balance of payments surplus of US\$ -87300 million, led by resilient exports and investments, in 2021-22 India's Balance of payments position came under strain with the CAD widening to 2.6% of GDP on account of higher oil and commodity price triggered by the Russian-Ukraine war. The capital. account saw outflows amid tightening monetary policies by major central banks. Overall The balance of payments registered a deficit of US\$-16700 million.

In 2022-23, The CAD narrowed to 2.0% of GDP as exports of services remained strong and imports moderated slightly capital inflows picked up in the second half of the year due to easing global financial conditions. overall, the Balance of payments recorded a small surplus of US\$-7500 million.

In 2023-24, India balance of payments improved substantially with declining crude oil prices, stable remittances inflows and strong software services exports, the CAD narrowed further to 1.5% of GDP. The capital account witnessed a surplus of US\$-95400 million driven by higher FDI in manufacturing and renewable energy sectors. The overall Balance of payments surplus stood at US\$-95400 million and the share of Balance of payments to GDP was around 2.1% reflecting a stable external sector outlook for the country.

When a current account deficit is financed through borrowing, it is considered to be more unsustainable. This is due to the long-term nature of borrowing being unsustainable, as it imposes significant interest payment burdens on nations. For instance, in 1998, Russia faced challenges in repaying its foreign debt. Similar issues have been observed in other developing nations, including Brazil and various African countries. Consequently, countries with substantial interest obligations have limited resources available for investment. At some point, a significant balance of payments deficit can erode foreign investors' confidence. This creates a risk that investors may withdraw their investments, leading to a sharp decline in the value of the currency (devaluation). Such a situation can



adversely affect living standards and diminish investment confidence. A contributing factor to the 1997 Asian financial crisis was that nations had accumulated large current account deficits by attracting capital inflows to cover these deficits. However, when confidence waned, these volatile capital flows ceased, resulting in rapid devaluation and a crisis of trust. As confidence diminished and the exchange rate fell, capital flight occurred as foreign investors sought to liquidate their assets. If a current account deficit exists, it necessitates a surplus in the financial or capital account. This indicates that foreign entities are increasingly claiming their assets, which they may wish to withdraw at any moment. For example, a current account deficit may be financed by foreign multinationals investing in your country or acquiring assets. However, there is a risk that foreigners could purchase your most valuable assets, thereby diminishing long-term income.

A sustained current account deficit may indicate an overreliance on consumer expenditure, leading to an imbalance within various economic sectors, particularly between immediate consumption and long-term investment. For instance, the United Kingdom has exhibited a significant proportion of its GDP directed towards consumer spending, coupled with relatively low levels of investment, especially in the manufacturing sector. This emphasis on domestic consumption could result in adverse long-term consequences due to insufficient investments in productivity. In contrast, Germany, which maintains a current account surplus, is perceived to have superior investment levels within its economy. A current account deficit may also suggest a lack of competitiveness, potentially resulting in an overvaluation of the exchange rate. In countries with flexible exchange rates, such as the Pound

Sterling, market dynamics may lead to depreciation, thereby restoring competitiveness. However, for nations within the Eurozone that cannot devalue their currency, a current account deficit poses a significant challenge. For example, the disparity in inflation rates from 2000 to 2007 led to substantial current account deficits in the southern Eurozone economies. This decline in competitiveness and diminished export demand contributed to the weak domestic demand experienced by Greece, Portugal, and Spain during the Eurozone recessions from 2008 to 2013. A nation with a considerable current account deficit faces the constant risk of currency depreciation. Should the capital inflows necessary to finance the deficit fall short, the exchange rate will likely decline to address the imbalance in foreign capital. Such depreciation can result in imported inflation for consumers and businesses reliant on imported raw materials.

CONCLUSION

The Balance of Payments (BOP) serves as a crucial economic indicator for numerous nations, including India, China, the United States, and the United Kingdom. It provides insights into their standings in the global market and aids countries in formulating effective foreign exchange rate policies and managing capital flows. Various elements such as inflation, national income, governmental reforms, and exchange rates influence the balance of payments. Analyzing the historical patterns of the BOP is instrumental in assessing a country's economic outlook and its currency's exchange rate. Current data indicates that India is experiencing significant balance of payments deficits, necessitating government intervention through measures such as exchange controls, inflation management, export enhancement, and currency devaluation.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad, N., Ahmed, R. R., Khoso, I., Palwishah, R. I., & Raza, U. (2014). Impact of Exchange Rate on Balance of Payment: An Investigation from Pakistan. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, V, 32-42. Retrieved from <https://www.indus.edu.pk/publication/Publication14.pdf>
2. Hakim, L., & Dahalan, J. (2011, NOVEMBER). Balance of Payment Pattern and Global Financial Crisis: Evidence on ASEAN-5 Countries. Retrieved from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3095416>
3. Rana, K., & Khurana, D. (2011). Comparative Analysis of Balance of Payments: Indian Perspective. *International Journal of Transformations in Business Management*, I (1). Retrieved from www.ijtbm.com/images/short_pdf/Jan_2011_Kuldeep%20Singh%20Rana.pdf



4. Batoo, S. A., Memood, T., & Jadoon, A. K. (2015, APRIL). What Determines Balance of Payments: A Case of Pakistan. II (2). Retrieved from journal.ibasuk.edu.pk:8089/SIBAJournals/index.php/sijmb/article/download/88/47
5. Behera, P. (2016, JULY). India's Balance of Payments: 1990-91 to 2014-15. SSRG International Journal of Economics and Management Studies (SSRG – IJEMS), III (7), 19-24. Retrieved from [http://www.internationaljournalssrg.org/IJEMS/2016/Vol ume3-Issue5/IJEMS-V3I5P103.pdf](http://www.internationaljournalssrg.org/IJEMS/2016/Vol%20ume3-Issue5/IJEMS-V3I5P103.pdf)
6. Bussière, M. (2007, January). Balance of Payment Crises in Emerging Markets: How Early Were The “Early” Warning Signals? Retrieved from [Http://Ssrn.Com/Abstract_Id=955762](http://Ssrn.Com/Abstract_Id=955762)
7. Rani, M., Aghalya, V., & Gayathiri, G. (2017, APRIL). Balance of Payments Problems of Developing Countries with Special Reference to India.